



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,644	04/22/2004	Travis J. Parry	200312834-1	6984
22879 7590 09/30/2008 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				
EXAMINER PEESO, THOMAS R				
ART UNIT 2132		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 09/30/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM

mkraft@hp.com

ipa.mail@hp.com

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/829,644

**Applicant(s)**

PARRY ET AL.

**Examiner**

Thomas R. Peeso

**Art Unit**

2132

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 34-51 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15-26, 30-33, 52, 53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 14, 27-29 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 10, 11, 15-23, 30-33, 52, 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 5,077,795 to Rourke et al

As per claims 1 and 52 Rourke et al. disclose an image-forming device and a first consumable resource configured to be consumed by the device in forming a printed image (see fig. 2), an authorization granting interface coupled to the resource and configured based upon authorized users of the resource (col. 6, lines 48-57),  
a user interface configured to receive authorization input from a prospective user (col. 7, lines 38-48 and a processor in communication with the user interface, wherein the processor is configured to determine if a prospective user is authorized to use the resource based upon the authorization input and interaction with the granting interface (col. 7, lines 16-23).

As per claim 2, Rourke et al. disclose wherein the user interface is configured to indicate to the prospective user whether the prospective user is

authorized in response to control signals generated by the processor (col. 10, lines 46-50)..

As per claims 3 and 4, Rourke et al. disclose wherein the processor is configured to prevent use of the resource by the prospective user when the prospective user is not authorized and to permit use of the resource when the prospective user is authorized (col. 7, lines 16-23).

As per claims 5, 10, 11 and 23, Rouke et al. disclose a memory configured to receive and store authorization input (col. 10, lines 38-40).

As per claim 15. Rourke et al. disclose the authorization granting interface is configured to grant and deny use of the resource (col. 6, lines 48-57).

As per claim 16, 17 and 20, Rourke et al. disclose the authorization granting interface is configured to grant varying levels of authorization to use of the resource (col. 8, lines 7-16)..

As per claims 18 and 19, Rourke et al. disclose the processor is fixedly coupled to the device (see fig. 2).

As per claim 21, Rourke et al. disclose the user interface is configured to receive authorization input from a prospective user comprising a device (see fig. 2).

As per claims 22 and 53, Rourke et al. disclose a consumable resource and

an authorization granting interface coupled to the consumable resource and configured based upon authorized users of the resource (see at least the abstract).

As per claim 30, Rourke et al. disclose the authorization granting interface is configured to grant and deny use of the resource (col. 10, lines 41-56).

As per claims 31 and 32, Rourke et al disclose the authorization granting interface is configured to grant varying levels of authorization to use of the resource (col 6, lines 58-65).

As per claim 33, Rourke et al. disclose a processor (see fig. 2).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-9, 12, 13, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rourke et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the examiner taking official notice.

As per claims 6-9, 12, 13, 24-26, Rourke et al. do not specifically disclose the features of these claims. The examiner, however, takes official notice that these elements are well known in the art of security systems. It would have been obvious to anyone having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined these well known features in applicants invention with the invention of Rourke et al. since they comprise very well known components and hardware associated with this type of system.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 34-51 are allowed.

Claims 14, 27-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 571 272-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. The central fax number for the office is 571 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571 272-3799.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>.

Should you have questions on access to the  
Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at  
866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Thomas R Peeso/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2132